

**Sveučilište u Zadru** University of Zadar

Mihovila Pavlinovića b.b. 23000 Zadar, Hrvatska / Croatia http://www.unizd.hr



## ECTS – European Credit Transfer System

1396 2002

## Transcript of Work/Employer Evaluation Form

NAME OF SENDING (HOME) INSTITUTION:	UNIVERSITY OF ZADAR	
Department of	ART HISTORY	
Mail address, town and country:	OBALA KRAYA PETRA KRESIMIRA IN, 2, ZADAR	
Supervisor/ECTS coordinator:	MAG. HIST. ALT CLOAT	74
Tel.:+ 385 23 200 542 Fax:	- E-mail: 10 gmail. com	

NAME OF						
STUDENT/TRAINEE:						
Date and place of birth:	5.2. 1985 DAKOVO	Sex:	F			
Matriculation date:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>Matriculation No.:</b>				
Year of study:	200	Study programme(s):	MUSEOLOGY & CONSERVADO			
Subject area of training:	CONSERVATION	Start date of training:	NTH APRIL 2013			
Final date of training:	1874 AUGUST 2013	<b>Duration in months:</b>	2 YAC &I COA 2HTGONH			

NAME OF RECEIVING INSTITUTION:	SPORT LISBOA E DENFICA				
Faculty / Department of	STORAGE, RESTORATION AND CONSERVATION				
Mail address, town and country:	AV. GENERAL NORTON DE MATOS-1500-313, USDON, PORTUGAL				
Supervisor/mentor: INES MARIA MATA					
Tel.: +351217219509 F	ix: E-mail: ines. mata@slbentica.pt				

## QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION OF TRAINEE – according to the criteria set below, using (1 fail - 5 excellent) scale

- 5 excement) scale					
Analytic skills	1	2	3	4)	5
Ability to work in intensive and dynamic environment	1	2	3	4	5
Overcoming difficult situations	1	2	- 3	(4)	5
Operation with information sources, materials and documents	1	2	3	4	5
Teamwork	1	2	3	4	(5)
Positive attitude towards work	1	2	3	4	(5)
Taking responsibility	1 -	- 2	3	4	(5)
Ability to work quickly and efficiently	1	2	3	4	(5)
Understanding/Management of situations	1	2	3	(4)	(5)
Initativeness	1	2	3	4	G
Communication skills	1	2	3	(4)	5
Self confidence	1	2	3	(4)	5
Loyalty and confidentiality	1	2	3	4	(5)
AVERAGE SCORE OF ACHIEVED RESULTS:	1	2	3	4	(5)

QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT – Questions & Answers: Did the trainee follow the advices for improving the performance of his/her work? 1. Yes 2. Did the trainee perform properly his/her work? Did the trainee adhere to the working hours and did he/she follow the internal enterprise regulations? 3. <u>Yes, and always with a positive actitude</u> Did the trainee make any progress in his/her work? 4. yes, and showed great stades in her comerciation Knowledge 5. Would you hire the trainee for regular job? Yes, if we were in the process of recuiting Would you hire some other students from (sending HEI)? 6. 7. Recommendations for better performance: Unly to continue to learn and study what she likes best 8. Other comments: it was very newarding to work with having and we hope Conclusion: inter carles to be excellent The trainee a has achieved / has not achieved the planned training results Mentor's signature: la st

2013

Date and place 6